

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,699	09/09/2003		Filiep Vanthournout	KOB 8755		
7590 03/16/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
James C. Wray				SUTTON, ANDREW W		
Suite 300 1493 Chain Bridge Road				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
McLean, VA		-	3765			

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/657,699	VANTHOURNOUT ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andrew W. Sutton	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/9/06	6.					
•	•	action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
٠,٢	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	-					
•	The drawing(s) filed on $1-6-05$ is/are: a) \square acce		- Examiner				
رد.	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
,	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s) .						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/657,699 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/9/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant fails to point out how claim 1 as written overcomes the prior art of Derudder.

Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., movable grid) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Drawings

The drawing filed 1-9-06 while shows the horizontal plane as required are still objected to, as it is not labeled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,699

Art Unit: 3765

Claims 1 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Derudder (US 5,139,052). Derudder illustrates (Figure 10) a shed forming device comprising two sets of hooks 11 and 12 operating in conjunction with a pulley wherein the complementary hooks 11 are operating in conjunction with one pulley 14, and a number of first pulleys 14 of one or more sets of hooks 11 are provided in an upper row, and a number of second pulleys 17 of one or more second sets of hooks 12 are provided in a lower row.

As to claim 6, Derudder illustrates (Fig. 10) a single lifting element 11' to lift hooks 11 upon selection.

As to claim 7, Derudder discloses (Col. 1 line 8) that the jacquard is a three position loom.

As to claim 8, Derudder discloses (Col. 1 line 2) that the device disclosed is for a jacquard machine (loom).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 2 teaches a first and second pulley being connected to a complementary set of hooks wherein they will overlap each other when projected on a horizontal plane.

Claim 3 states the limitation after projection on a horizontal plane, the distance between a first set of hooks (20a) operating in conjunction with a first pulley (40a) from the upper

Art Unit: 3765

row (100) and a second adjacent set of hooks (20b) operating in conjunction with a second pulley (40b) from the lower row (101) is shorter than the total of half the width of said first pulley (40a) and half the width of said second pulley (40b) along with the limitations of claim 1 not shown in the prior art. Claim 4 states first (40a) and second pulley (40b) are shifted widthwise over half a pitch along with the limitations found in claim 1 are not shown in the prior art. Claim 5 states first (40a) and second pulley (40b) are each provided with one or more pulley cords (5, 15, 16) and in that said adjacent first pulleys (40a) are practically resting against one another and said adjacent second pulleys (40b) are practically resting against one another and are provided with a recess so that the pulley cords (15) connecting the complementary hooks (2a, 2b) of the second sets of hooks (20b) may extend upwards over an upper pulley wheel (4a) of the second lower pulleys (40b), in the recesses (9) of two adjacent first upper pulleys (40a) and the pulley cords (16) being connected to one or more heddles and return springs of a Jacquard weaving machines over a lower pulley wheel (4b) of the first upper pulleys (40a) may extend downwards in the recesses of two adjacent lower pulleys (40b) along with the limitations of claim 1 is not shown in the prior art.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3765

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew W. Sutton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

3/6/06 AWS JOHING CALVERT

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700